

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
06/20/2003	Geoffrey Bates	5603-3	5683
7590 08/31/2004 Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP		EXAMINER	
		MARC COLEMA	MARC COLEMAN, MARTHE Y
er/Tower Circle, Suite 3700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137		3661	
	06/20/2003 90 08/31/2004 nardt, Moriarty, McNet er/Tower Circle, Suite 3700	06/20/2003 Geoffrey Bates 90 08/31/2004 nardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP er/Tower Circle, Suite 3700	06/20/2003 Geoffrey Bates 5603-3 90 08/31/2004 EXAM nardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP er/Tower Circle, Suite 3700 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany		10/600,666	BATES, GEOFFREY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Marthe Y Marc-Coleman	3661		
Period fo	• •	dears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.			
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/600,666

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to preliminary amendment filed on 7/19/04.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
 - Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

Application/Control Number: 10/600,666

Art Unit: 3661

- (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- or general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/600,666

Art Unit: 3661

(i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 3. The amendment filed on is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: a vehicle repair **tracking** system.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/600,666

Art Unit: 3661

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cherrington et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,070,155) in view of Chou et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,499) and Good (Pub. No. 2003/0114967).

In regard to claims 1-14, Cherrington et al. discloses a vehicle repair system (see title) including a vehicle repair center entering details of the vehicle into the system database (24-32), wherein the details including a listing of parts and cost estimation (see Figs. 1, 9, 10; and col. 11, lines 55-67; and abstract), wherein information is provided on a designed site which is selectively accessible from a remote location (see Fig. 13; col. 5 lines 50-53) providing a security code (see Fig. 3; col. 12 lines 25-45); the system including the provision of visual information during repair (see dol. 6 lines 29-650.

Cherrington et al. fails to disclose that the vehicle user is subscribed to the system and providing transporting the vehicle to the closest available repair location, utilizing a vehicle recovery/tracking system and access of an internet site.

However, Chou et al. teaches, in the same filed of endeavor of vehicle repair system, a vehicle repair system wherein the vehicle user is subscribed to the system (the user has the capability of contacting a service as noted in Figs. 3-5) and providing transporting the vehicle to the closest available repair location (see col. 5 lines 49-52; col. 7 lines 50-60), utilizing a vehicle recovery/tracking system (by way of GPS 150A) and access of an internet site (150C and 150D; see Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,666

Art Unit: 3661

The combination of Cherrington et al. and Chou et al. fails to disclose that a tracking system.

Good discloses a tracking system.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Cherrington et al's system by including subscribing to the system and providing transporting the vehicle to the closest available repair location, utilizing a vehicle recovery/tracking system, and access of an Internet site as evidence by Chou et al. in order to enhance reliability. It would have been obvious to utilize the repair tracking system of Good with the combination of Cherrington et al. and Chou et al. because it would provide timely and accurate dissemination of service status.

While the combination of Cherrington et al., Chou et al., and Good are somewhat silent on the provision of an equivalent vehicle for the duration of the repair, such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention as old and well settled because the skilled artisan recognizes such provision would enhance reliability and efficiency or the system.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because Applicant is arguing on new matter introduced in the amendment.

Art Unit: 3661

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marthe Y Marc-Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tomas G Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

MARTHEY. MARC-COLEMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Mar the y. Marc-Coleman

August 24, 2004